UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,653	03/08/2005	Adrian Keith West	47-217	5626
23117 7590 04/11/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			KOLKER, DANIEL E	
ARLINGTON, VA	ARLINGTON, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· ·			1649	
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
31 DAY	'S	04/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/517,653	WEST ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel Kolker	1649
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ate, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	December 2004.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	mice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority docume 		•
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		ceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	poived
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not rec	
Attachment(s)		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	fail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) L Notice of Info	mal Patent Application

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Application/Control Number: 10/517,653

Art Unit: 1649

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. The preliminary amendment filed 13 December 2004 has been entered. Claims 1 – 27 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1 - 17 and 26, drawn to methods comprising exposing a neuron to metallothioein.

Group 2, claim(s) 18 - 25 and 27, drawn to compositions.

3. The inventions listed as Groups 1 – 2 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the first claimed technical feature, a method of exposing neurons to a solution comprising MT-IIA, is not a contribution over the prior art. Ebadi et al. (1998. Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience 12(2-3):103-111) teach contacting neurons with solutions comprising MT-II protein and protecting the neurons from damage (see for example p. 107 last paragraph of the results section, and Table II). As the first stated technical feature is not a contribution over the prior art, it is not a special technical feature according to Rule 13. The technical feature of Group 1 is exposing a neuron to a solution; the technical feature of Group 2 is methallothionein IIA. As the first technical feature is not a special technical feature and because the technical feature of group 1 is not present in the group 2 claims, unity of invention is lacking.

The examiner notes that the reference by Ebadi discusses exposing neurons to MT-II, whereas the instant claims recite "MT-IIA". In each case the relevant protein is MT-II and is 61 amino acids long (see specification p. 3 line 1 and Ebadi p. 104 second complete paragraph). Should applicant traverse on the grounds that the reference by Ebadi is not prior art over claim 1, applicant should point to evidence currently of record, or supply new evidence, which shows

Art Unit: 1649

a novel and non-obvious difference between the two proteins. Absent evidence to the contrary, the proteins alternative referred to as "MT-II" (Ebadi) and "MT-IIA" (instant application) are indistinguishable.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Kolker whose telephone number is (571) 272-3181. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Fri 8:30AM 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on (571) 272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel E. Kolker, Ph.D.

April 5, 2007

ROBERT C. HAYES, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER